



Staff : DR.NIJU P

Subject : MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 14

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88.93

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	11	78.57%	195.25
		Good	14.13	1	7.14%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	2	14.29%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	64.29%	130.5
		Good	11.81	2	14.29%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	3	21.43%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	71.43%	75
		Good	5.71	3	21.43%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	1	7.14%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	6	42.86%	35.1
		10 to 25	3.66	3	21.43%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	35.71%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	12	85.71%	48
Yes		0.67	0	0%	0	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	14.29%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	9	64.29%	83.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	35.71%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	11	78.57%	52.25
		Too Fast	1.34	2	14.29%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	7.14%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	10	71.43%	68.8
		Some Times	3.89	3	21.43%	11.67
		No	0.74	1	7.14%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	12	85.71%	81
		Indifferent	2.78	2	14.29%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	13	92.86%	149.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	7.14%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	9	64.29%	101.25
Good		9.03	3	21.43%	27.09	
Fair		6.46	2	14.29%	12.92	
Poor		1.56	0	0%	0	
Can't Judge		2.03	0	0%	0	

Strength and Weakness

- good mind with students always

Special Remarks

- 9.5/10

Head of The Department



Staff : RAJI V.V

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 11

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 76.46

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	3	27.27%	53.25
		Good	14.13	4	36.36%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	4	36.36%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	27.27%	43.5
		Good	11.81	4	36.36%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	3	27.27%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	9.09%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	3	27.27%	22.5
		Good	5.71	4	36.36%	22.84
		Fair	4.04	3	27.27%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	9.09%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	7	63.64%	40.95
		10 to 25	3.66	1	9.09%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	27.27%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	9	81.82%	36
Yes		0.67	1	9.09%	0.67	
Can't Judge		1.65	1	9.09%	1.65	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	4	36.36%	37
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	36.36%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	3	27.27%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	8	72.73%	38
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	27.27%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	54.55%	41.28
		Some Times	3.89	3	27.27%	11.67
		No	0.74	1	9.09%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	9.09%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	7	63.64%	47.25
		Indifferent	2.78	3	27.27%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	9.09%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	9	81.82%	103.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	18.18%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	2	18.18%	22.5
		Good	9.03	5	45.45%	45.15
		Fair	6.46	3	27.27%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	9.09%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

- 7/10

Head of The Department



Staff : SHEEJA

Subject : CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 8

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 70.33

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	2	25%	35.5
		Good	14.13	1	12.5%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	4	50%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	12.5%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	0	0%	0
		Good	11.81	3	37.5%	35.43
		Fair	8.11	4	50%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	12.5%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	0	0%	0
		Good	5.71	5	62.5%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	12.5%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	25%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	3	37.5%	17.55
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	62.5%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	4	50%	16
Yes		0.67	1	12.5%	0.67	
Can't Judge		1.65	3	37.5%	4.95	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	3	37.5%	27.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	50%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	12.5%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	5	62.5%	23.75
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	37.5%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	4	50%	27.52
		Some Times	3.89	2	25%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	25%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	6	75%	40.5
		Indifferent	2.78	1	12.5%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	12.5%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	6	75%	69
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	25%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	0	0%	0
		Good	9.03	5	62.5%	45.15
		Fair	6.46	2	25%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	12.5%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

- 7/10

Head of The Department



Staff : DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject : EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 10

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93.95

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	9	90%	159.75
		Good	14.13	1	10%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	6	60%	87
		Good	11.81	4	40%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	8	80%	60
		Good	5.71	2	20%	11.42
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	7	70%	40.95
		10 to 25	3.66	1	10%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	20%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	9	90%	36
Yes		0.67	1	10%	0.67	
Can't Judge		1.65	0	0%	0	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	9	90%	83.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	1	10%	6.34
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	8	80%	38
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	20%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	7	70%	48.16
		Some Times	3.89	2	20%	7.78
		No	0.74	1	10%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	10	100%	67.5
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	10	100%	115
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	7	70%	78.75
		Good	9.03	3	30%	27.09
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

- 8.1/10

Head of The Department



Staff : SHIFA N

Subject : EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 10

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 95.89

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	9	90%	159.75
		Good	14.13	1	10%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	90%	130.5
		Good	11.81	1	10%	11.81
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	9	90%	67.5
		Good	5.71	1	10%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	7	70%	40.95
		10 to 25	3.66	1	10%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	20%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	9	90%	36
Yes		0.67	0	0%	0	
Can't Judge		1.65	1	10%	1.65	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	8	80%	74
		Satisfactory	6.34	2	20%	12.68
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	10	100%	47.5
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	60%	41.28
		Some Times	3.89	3	30%	11.67
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	10%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	10	100%	67.5
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	10	100%	115
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	10	100%	112.5
		Good	9.03	0	0%	0
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

- 8/10

Head of The Department



Staff : DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject : HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 11

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 92.08

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	8	72.73%	142
		Good	14.13	3	27.27%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	9	81.82%	130.5
		Good	11.81	1	9.09%	11.81
		Fair	8.11	1	9.09%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	90.91%	75
		Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	1	9.09%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	8	72.73%	46.8
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	27.27%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	8	72.73%	32
Yes		0.67	3	27.27%	2.01	
Can't Judge		1.65	0	0%	0	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	8	72.73%	74
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	27.27%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	10	90.91%	47.5
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	9.09%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	8	72.73%	55.04
		Some Times	3.89	2	18.18%	7.78
		No	0.74	1	9.09%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	11	100%	74.25
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	10	90.91%	115
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	9.09%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	8	72.73%	90
		Good	9.03	2	18.18%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	1	9.09%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

- no weakness

Special Remarks

- 10/10

Head of The Department



Staff : ABHIRAM S SANJAY

Subject : EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id : TE-SN1814-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 12

Batch : BTech KTU EC 2K22 B

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	7	58.33%	124.25
		Good	14.13	4	33.33%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	1	8.33%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	5	41.67%	72.5
		Good	11.81	6	50%	70.86
		Fair	8.11	1	8.33%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	11	91.67%	82.5
		Good	5.71	1	8.33%	5.71
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	33.33%	23.4
		10 to 25	3.66	4	33.33%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	33.33%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	10	83.33%	40
Yes		0.67	0	0%	0	
Can't Judge		1.65	2	16.67%	3.3	
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	50%	55.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	50%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	10	83.33%	47.5
		Too Fast	1.34	1	8.33%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	8.33%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	6	50%	41.28
		Some Times	3.89	5	41.67%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	8.33%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	12	100%	81
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	12	100%	138
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	4	33.33%	45
		Good	9.03	6	50%	54.18
		Fair	6.46	2	16.67%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

- 8/10

Head of The Department

